Thank you for the opportunity to testify before this committeeoday.

My name is Margaret Murray. I live at 150 Elm Street, Montpelier, Vermont₁ hich is a duplex I have owned for 38 years. I also own a single family home in Burlington, and have been involved in a protracted legal conflict with the City of Burlington. Thus, my testimony is based upon my experiences with the cities of Montpelier and Burlington.

So much of our legislature has noble goals and good intentions. A prime example is "Act 250," an attempt in the 1970's to reign in the explosive devetopmentassa u king Vermont's land and citizens. Unfortunately in 2014, citizen input and protection by the Vermont legislature is needed now more than ever_ The millions of dollars being spent annually by throughoutunicipalities the state without dear and constant citizen involve expedited by the 236 ptions allowed under Vermont's public records laws. The H. 809 bill being considered, seeks to remove the protections from development guaranteed under "Act 250" while further shrouding the activities of municipalities and their close bonds with private investors.

The current court case in Burlington about a "misplaced" \$17 million highlights more than ere words the need for accountability, public scrutiny, and basic auditing. nciples. Two years ago, Montpelier had a \$380,000 "error" in the form of an overpayment ta a contractor.. These are just two examples of major misplacements of public funds, and of public trust, that happened to be in the two Vermont citieswhich I own property.

Part of H. 809 is also outlining the Tax Incentive Financing (TIF) funding, which MI1bl Montpelier, Burlington, and Barre had millions owed to the state coffers last year.

Their collective response ranged from Milton's "not paying a dime" to Montpelier's lament "the tax regulations were confusing."

To my knowledge, I have been the only private citizen to testify to date in this matter. I follow 15 individuals representing various offices and agencies, who all stand to benefit directly or indirectly by shortcuts and faster reviews of the expenditure of Vermont taxpayers` monies. What I ask of this committee is to strengthen, not exempt "Act 250" for the conVenience of faster, denser, and more spontaneous development with Vermonters footing the bill. More citizen inut, not less.

M rga Murray